

Mask Choice Talking Points

I am a living, breathing man or woman, created in God's image, and I don't mask or cover my face which bears the image of my Creator. God breathed into me the breath of life. I do not obstruct my airways. My body is a temple of the Holy Spirit. I do not deface or dehumanize it which violates the commands of my Creator.

My body. My choice.

I live on the frequency of faith, not fear. Masking is not evidence-based and lowers my frequency to an unhealthy state which does harm to my immune system.

I am well within my rights to resist experimental, non FDA approved, medical interventions; which by law require informed consent. You may not coerce patrons to be subjects of a medical experiment as a condition of free and equal access to your establishment.

Declining to violate my conscience, my sincerely held religious beliefs, and my physical wellbeing as a condition of entering any establishment open to the public is an act of Constitutionally protected peaceful protest.

Masks are not FDA approved for virus or Covid prevention. Title 21 U.S. Code § 360bbb-3 clearly states we have the right to be informed of risks and the option to accept or refuse the product. I am also protected by the Federal and State Health codes that have codified both the Nuremberg Code and The Declaration of Helsinki.

The advice of my physicians, substantiated by a wealth of scientific data and studies, is that masks cause harm to my mental and physical health. I cannot be required to commit acts of self-harm as a prerequisite to having free and equal access to a place of public accommodation as clearly outlined in CA Civil Code Section 51, the Unruh Civil Rights Act: "All persons within the jurisdiction of this state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishments of every kind whatsoever."

My civil rights attorney has advised me not to comply with unlawful mask policies, which he indicates are not legally valid or enforceable. "Unelected bureaucrats in the department of public health have no independent authority to issue any legally enforceable health/safety order. It is not being issued pursuant to Government Code section 8625 of the California Emergency Services Act, nor does it carry the Government Code enforceability of section 8665. Nor does it describe the penalties for noncompliance."

The weight of the science on the subject of masking clearly and comprehensively finds no statically significant benefit to masking for prevention of viral transmission and also indicates increased rate of infection in mask-wearers which may be due to a weakening of immune function during mask use. Numerous studies have found various respiratory pathogens were found on the outer surface of used medical masks, which could result in self-contamination. Surgical masks have been found to be a repository of bacterial contamination. It is unscientific and unhealthful to wear a mask for viral transmission, which it does not protect against, and which cannot be done in a sanitary fashion outside of sterile environments such as a surgery theater.

Regardless of "guidance" from local and state health departments, State and Federal Anti- Discrimination Laws, Federal laws protecting sincerely held religious beliefs, disabilities, and medical conditions, State Health Codes, and Federal Laws for "Emergency Use Authorization" supersede guidance. "Emergency Use Authorization" means any product, facility, etc. with this designation must be voluntary, which currently includes masks, PCR testing, covid vaccines, mRNA technology, and labs which are all currently EUA authorized. Under 21 U.S.C. § 360bbb-3, "Authorization for medical products for use in emergencies": (ii) Appropriate conditions designed to ensure that individuals to whom the product is administered are informed — (I) that the Secretary [of Health and Human Services] has authorized the emergency use of the product; (II) of the significant known and potential benefits and risks of such use, and of the extent to which such benefits and risks are unknown; and (III) of the option to accept or refuse administration of the product, of the consequences, if any, of refusing administration of the product, and of the alternatives to the product that are available and of their benefits and risks.